arXiv:1603.00364v2 [hep-th] 3 May 2016

# D-instantons in Klebanov-Witten model

Ali Imaanpur

*Department of Physics, School of Sciences*
*Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14155-4838, Tehran, Iran*
*Email: aimaanpu@theory.ipm.ac.ir*

**Abstract**

We study D-instanton solutions in type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$, which has a dual $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory. Apart from ordinary D(-1)-brane instantons, we discuss wrapped D1-branes over minimal 2-cycles and derive explicit solutions preserving half the supersymmetries. These solutions are identified with Yang-Mills instantons which are (anti)self-dual in both gauge group factors with instanton charge $(k, k')$. By examining the boundary behaviour of the solutions we discuss the coupling to the corresponding dual boundary operators, and identify their vacuum expectation values. We also discuss the boundary terms and compute the action for these solutions.

# 1 Introduction

AdS/CFT correspondence has provided us with a duality between type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in four dimensions [1]. Since the duality relates weak coupling of one theory to the strong coupling of the other, to examine it one needs some quantities to be computed nonperturbatively on both sides of the correspondence. Instantons on the Yang-Mills side, and D-instantons on the supergravity side, being exact solutions of the equations of motion, provide a tool for this purpose.

The main reasons that allow us to identify instantons with D-instantons – and also their corresponding contributions in gauge and string theory – are as follows. First, both of these configurations are exact solutions of the equations of motion: instantons being solutions of Yang-Mills equations on flat space, and D-instantons being solutions of supergravity equations in the background of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ supplemented with a 5-form field strength. Second, the action attains its absolute minimum for these configurations. And finally, they both preserve equal amount of supersymmetries. These two last properties are especially important in arguing the stability of such solutions when interpolating between weak and strong couplings.

In this note we look at the model put forth by Klebanov and Witten (KW) aimed at providing AdS/CFT dualities with less supersymmetries [2]. The model is concerned with the dynamics of $N$ D3-branes at the tip of a conifold, which, in turn, results in a duality between an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ superconformal field theory and type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$, where $T^{1,1}$ is the base of the conifold. We will be mainly interested in the supergravity equations of motion in this background, which has the characteristic of having two moduli [2]. The first moduli consists of the constant dilaton and the axion, and the second one arises since the second betti number of $T^{1,1}$ is one. Hence, one can take non-trivial $B$ fields with vanishing field strengths. Generically, adding any extra D-branes to this set up would backreact on the geometry, and thus one needs to solve the whole equations of motion from the start. However, there exist supersymmetric D-instantons which have a zero energy momentum tensor and thus the background remains the same as before adding the D-instantons.

As in type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, one can consider D(-1)-branes in the KW model which source the axion and dilaton fields in the bulk. However, since $T^{1,1}$ admits a harmonic 2-form one can wrap (Euclidean) D1-branes, or F-strings, around the dual cycle to get extra point charges in $AdS_5$. Moreover, in this model axion couples to the sum of the instanton densities in the corresponding two gauge groups, whereas $C_2$ (for which D1-brane is a source) couples to the difference of these densities. Therefore, D(-1)-branes in the bulk (when $C_2 = 0$) correspond to instantons of charge $(k, k)$ on the boundary,[1] while D1-branes in the bulk correspond to instantons of charge $(k, k')$ with $k \neq k'$. This shows that we need both D(-1)-branes and D1-branes to get a complete set of supersymmetric instanton charges on

[1] Each $k = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \mathrm{tr}\,(F \wedge F)$ indicates the instanton number in the corresponding gauge group.

the boundary.

In the next section, first we look at the supergravity field equations and write down a consistent ansatz to reduce them to some coupled scalar field equations on $AdS_5$. In section 3, we look at the supersymmetry of the solutions and find that they preserve half the supersymmetries. In section 4, we solve the scalar field equations and find explicit solutions. The solutions correspond to D(-1)-branes and D1-branes smeared over $T^{1,1}$. Further, we study the behaviour of solutions near the boundary of $AdS_5$ which allows us to identify the vacuum expectation values (vev) of the dual operators. In the last section, we write down the action in a dual description and derive a lower bound which is saturated by the D-instanton solutions. We argue that the bound is set by a boundary term which we then explicitly compute for the solutions we have found.

# 2 The background and dual operators

D-instantons in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background are characterized with a non-trivial profile of dilaton and axion. In fact, preserving supersymmetry requires

$$de^{-\phi} = \pm i dc\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\phi$ and $c$ are the dilaton and axion fields, respectively. Moreover, with this ansatz D-instantons will have a zero contribution to the energy momentum tensor such that there is no backreaction on the metric. The equation of motion for the dilaton reduces to:

$$d * d\, e^{\phi} = 0\,, \tag{2}$$

which then has an exact solution on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background [3, 4]. In this article, we would like to study D-instanton configurations in the Klebanov-Witten model [2]. The case of D(-1)-branes will be similar to what we have in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background, though, in the KW model axion at the boundary couples to

$$\int \text{tr}\ (F_1 \wedge F_1 + F_2 \wedge F_2)\ , \tag{3}$$

where $F_1$ and $F_2$ are the field strengths taking value in the corresponding gauge group $SU(N) \times SU(N)$.

To start, let us briefly go through the KW model and see how the couplings to the dual operators are arranged. The type IIB supergravity background that we are concerned with is characterized by a metric on $EAdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{z^2}\left(dz^2 + dx^i dx_i\right) + L^2 ds_5^2\,, \tag{4}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, $L^4 = 4\pi g_s N (\alpha')^2$, and

$$ds_5^2 = \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{a=1}^{2}(d\theta_a^2 + \sin^2\theta_a d\phi_a^2) \tag{5}$$

which is the metric on $T^{1,1} = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/U(1) \sim S^2 \times S^3$. The five form field strength reads

$$F_5 = 4L^4 \left( \text{vol}(AdS_5) + \text{vol}(T^{1,1}) \right) . \tag{6}$$

The dilaton and axion are constant, whereas $B = b\,\omega_2$ with a constant $b$, and $\omega_2$ a harmonic 2-form proportional to the volume of $S^2$ in $T^{1,1}$:

$$\omega_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin\theta_1 d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 - \sin\theta_2 d\theta_2 \wedge d\phi_2) \,, \tag{7}$$

so that

$$d\omega_2 = d *_5 \omega_2 = 0 \,, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\omega_2 \wedge *_5 \omega_2 = \text{vol}(T^{1,1}) \,. \tag{9}$$

As argued in [2], we identify the couplings and the theta angles of the gauge theory with the boundary values of the supergravity fields as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} + \frac{\theta_2}{2\pi} &= n + c \,, \qquad & \frac{4\pi}{g_1^2} + \frac{4\pi}{g_2^2} &= \frac{1}{g_s} \\ \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} - \frac{\theta_2}{2\pi} &= n + \int_{S^2} C_2 \,, \qquad & \frac{4\pi}{g_1^2} - \frac{4\pi}{g_2^2} &= \int_{S^2} B \,, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $n$ is an integer, and 1 and 2 subindices refer to the two $SU(N)$ gauge factors. The corresponding gauge theory operators can be clearly identified if we rearrange the gauge kinetic and topological terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g_1^2} \int \text{tr}\,(F_1 \wedge *F_1) + \frac{1}{g_2^2} \int \text{tr}\,(F_2 \wedge *F_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{g_1^2} + \frac{1}{g_2^2} \right) \int \mathcal{O}_\phi + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{g_1^2} - \frac{1}{g_2^2} \right) \int \mathcal{O}_B \,, \\ \theta_1 \int \text{tr}\,(F_1 \wedge F_1) + \theta_2 \int \text{tr}\,(F_2 \wedge F_2) &= \frac{1}{2} (\theta_1 + \theta_2) \int \mathcal{O}_c + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \int \mathcal{O}_{C_2} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\mathcal{O}_\phi \equiv \text{tr}\ (F_1 \wedge *F_1 + F_2 \wedge *F_2) \,, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_B \equiv \text{tr}\ (F_1 \wedge *F_1 - F_2 \wedge *F_2) \,, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_c \equiv \text{tr}\ (F_1 \wedge F_1 + F_2 \wedge F_2) \,, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_2} \equiv \text{tr}\ (F_1 \wedge F_1 - F_2 \wedge F_2) \,, \tag{14}$$

so that, having in mind the identification in (10), we have chosen the subindices to indicate the sources to which the operators couple. In particular, this shows that if we turn on a non-trivial $c$ field in the bulk its boundary value acts as a source for $\mathcal{O}_c$, while $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}$ couples to the boundary value of $C_2$ field. On the other hand, if $C_2 = 0$ then, as we will argue in Sec. 3.3, the vev of $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}$ vanishes and thus it would correspond to instantons of $(k, k)$ charge at the boundary. We conclude that for having instantons of $(k, k')$ charge (with $k \neq k'$) it is necessary to turn on D1-branes.

# 3 Smeared D-instantons

To start our discussion of solutions in the KW model, let us look at the type IIB field equations and see how by making suitable ansatz we can reduce them to scalar field equations in $AdS_5$. Next, we consider the supersymmetry transformations and find that the ansatz solutions preserve half of the supersymmetries.

The action of type IIB supergravity in the Einstein frame reads

$$S = \frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{g}\,R - \frac{1}{4k_{10}^2}\int \Big(d\phi\wedge *d\phi + e^{2\phi}dc\wedge *dc \\ +e^{-\phi}H_3\wedge *H_3 + e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3\wedge *\tilde{F}_3 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}_5\wedge *\tilde{F}_5 + C_4\wedge H_3\wedge F_3\Big)\,, \qquad (15)$$

where

$$F_3 = dC_2\,,\quad F_5 = dC_4\,,\quad H_3 = dB\,, \\ \tilde{F}_3 = F_3 - cH_3\,,\quad \tilde{F}_5 = F_5 - C_2\wedge H_3\,, \qquad (16)$$

and,

$$*\tilde{F}_5 = \tilde{F}_5\,. \qquad (17)$$

The type IIB equations of motion derived from the above action read:

$$\begin{aligned} &d*d\phi = e^{2\phi}dc\wedge *dc - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi}H\wedge *H + \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3\wedge *\tilde{F}_3 \\ &d(e^{2\phi}*dc) = -e^{\phi}H\wedge *\tilde{F}_3 \\ &d*(e^{-\phi}H - ce^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3) = F_3\wedge F_5 \\ &d*(e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3) = -H\wedge F_5 \\ &d*\tilde{F}_5 = H\wedge F_3\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (18)$$

Now, by examining the supersymmetry transformations and the energy momentum tensor we are led to consider the following ansatz

$$de^{-\phi} = \pm i dc\,,\qquad \tilde{F}_3 = \pm i e^{-\phi}H\,. \qquad (19)$$

In fact, by looking at the energy momentum tensor

$$T^{\rm inst}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\phi\partial^\rho\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}\partial_\mu c\partial_\nu c - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}e^{2\phi}\partial_\rho c\partial^\rho c \\ +\frac{1}{4}e^{-\phi}H_{\mu\rho\sigma}H_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{24}e^{-\phi}g_{\mu\nu}H_{\rho\sigma\delta}H^{\rho\sigma\delta} + \frac{1}{4}e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_{\mu\rho\sigma}\tilde{F}_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{24}g_{\mu\nu}e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma\delta}\tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma\delta}\,,$$

with $\mu,\nu = 0,\ldots,10$, we see that $T^{\rm inst}_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes for the configurations which satisfy (19). Therefore, if we could find D-instanton solutions with the above ansatz they will have no backreaction on the background metric, and the Einstein equations are satisfied in their presence.

Next, let us see how the field equations are reduced by the above ansatz. For definiteness, however, we choose

$$\tilde{F}_3 = -ie^{-\phi}H\,, \tag{20}$$

so that the equations of motion read

$$\begin{aligned}
&d*d\phi = e^{2\phi}dc\wedge *dc - e^{-\phi}H\wedge *H\\
&d(e^{2\phi}*dc) = iH\wedge *H\\
&d*(e^{-\phi}H + icH) = F_3\wedge F_5\\
&d*H = -iH\wedge F_5\\
&d*\tilde{F}_5 = H\wedge F_3\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Now, set

$$H = dT\wedge\omega_2, \tag{22}$$

where $T$ is a scalar function on $AdS_5$, and $\omega_2$ the harmonic 2-form on $T^{1,1}$ as defined in (7). The third and fourth equations above imply that we must have

$$d(e^{-\phi}+ic) = 0\,, \tag{23}$$

whereas, the first and the second equations collapse to

$$d(e^{\phi}*_5 d\phi) = -dT\wedge *_5 dT \tag{24}$$

together with

$$d*_5 dT = 0\,, \tag{25}$$

where the subindex 5 now refers to the $AdS_5$ space. These two last equations are our main concern in this paper, we will solve and discuss them in the subsequent sections.

## 3.1 Supersymmetry

Before discussing the explicit D-instanton solutions, we would like to determine whether the solutions satisfying ansatz (19) preserve any supersymmetry. For D(-1)-branes it is known that they preserve half of the supersymmetries of the background.

To find a bosonic supersymmetric background the fermionic fields are set to zero, then for consistency the supersymmetry variations of these fields must vanish too. Hence, in type IIB supergravity we need to check the supersymmetry transformations of dilatino and gravitino [5]. In Euclidean space, for the supersymmetry variation of dilatino we have

$$\delta\lambda = \frac{i}{\tau_2}\Gamma^{\mu}\partial_\mu\tau\varepsilon^* - \frac{i}{24}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon\, G_{\mu\nu\rho}\,, \tag{26}$$

$$\delta\bar{\lambda} = \frac{-i}{\tau_2}\Gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\tau}\varepsilon + \frac{i}{24}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon^*\bar{G}_{\mu\nu\rho}\,, \tag{27}$$

whereas, the gravitino transformations read

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_\mu &= (\nabla_\mu - \frac{i}{2}Q_\mu)\varepsilon + \frac{i}{480}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\Gamma_\mu F_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{96}\left(\Gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho\sigma}G_{\nu\rho\sigma} - 9\Gamma^{\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho\sigma}\right)\varepsilon^* \\
\delta\bar{\psi}_\mu &= (\nabla_\mu + \frac{i}{2}Q_\mu)\varepsilon^* - \frac{i}{480}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\Gamma_\mu F_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^* + \frac{1}{96}\left(\Gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{G}_{\nu\rho\sigma} - 9\Gamma^{\rho\sigma}\bar{G}_{\mu\rho\sigma}\right)\varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 = c + ie^{-\phi}$, and

$$Q_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}e^\phi\partial_\mu c\,, \quad G_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}(F - \tau H)_{\mu\nu\rho}\,. \tag{28}$$

Note that in Euclidean space the spinors and their complex conjugates are in different representations and thus are treated as independent fields (parameters).

Now for the background to be supersymmetric we choose $\varepsilon^* = 0$. Setting the dilatino variation to zero implies

$$\partial_\mu\bar{\tau} = 0\,, \qquad \Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\epsilon}\,G_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0\,, \tag{29}$$

whereas, setting the gravitino variations to zero yields

$$(\nabla_\mu - \frac{i}{2}Q_\mu)\varepsilon + \frac{i}{480}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\Gamma_\mu F_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma}\varepsilon = 0\,, \tag{30}$$

$$\left(\Gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{G}_{\nu\rho\sigma} - 9\Gamma^{\rho\sigma}\bar{G}_{\mu\rho\sigma}\right)\varepsilon = 0\,. \tag{31}$$

The ansatz in the previous section, (20) and (23), already satisfy the following equations

$$\partial_\mu\bar{\tau} = 0\,, \qquad \bar{G}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0\,, \tag{32}$$

so that the first equation of (29) and eq. (31) are satisfied. Therefore, for being supersymmetric, we further need to check the second equation of (29), i.e.,

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon\,G_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0\,. \tag{33}$$

To show this, we use the following vielbein basis for the metric on $T^{1,1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^i &= \frac{L}{\sqrt{6}}d\theta_i\,, \qquad e^{\hat{i}} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta_i d\phi_i\,, \quad i = 1,2 \\
e^3 &= \frac{L}{3}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

The non-vanishing components of the Riemann tensor are then

$$R^{1\hat{1}2\hat{2}} = -2\,, \qquad R^{1\hat{1}1\hat{1}} = R^{2\hat{2}2\hat{2}} = 3 \tag{34}$$

$$R^{3131} = R^{3\hat{1}3\hat{1}} = R^{3232} = R^{3\hat{2}3\hat{2}} = R^{1\hat{2}1\hat{2}} = R^{12\hat{2}\hat{1}} = 1\,, \tag{35}$$

from which the non-vanishing components of the Weyl tensor can be derived

$$C^{1\hat{1}2\hat{2}} = C^{1\hat{1}1\hat{1}} = C^{2\hat{2}2\hat{2}} = 2 \tag{36}$$

$$C^{1\hat{2}1\hat{2}} = C^{\hat{1}2\hat{1}2} = C^{1212} = C^{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = C^{1\hat{2}2\hat{1}} = C^{12\hat{1}\hat{2}} = -1\,. \tag{37}$$

In this basis we have

$$\omega_2 = \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}L^2}(e^1\wedge e^{\hat{1}} - e^2\wedge e^{\hat{2}})\,. \tag{38}$$

Note that before introducing the D-instantons, the background preserves 8 supercharges determined by the integrability condition along $T^{1,1}$:[2]

$$C^{abcd}\gamma_{cd}\,\eta = 0\,, \tag{39}$$

where $\eta$ indicates a constant spinor on $T^{1,1}$. For $a = 1, b = \hat{1}$, this implies

$$(\gamma_{1\hat{1}} + \gamma_{\hat{2}2})\eta = 0\,. \tag{40}$$

Going back to (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon\, G_{\mu\nu\rho} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon\,(F-\tau H)_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{-2i}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}e^{-\phi}\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\varepsilon\, H_{\mu\nu\rho} \\
&= \frac{-12i}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}e^{-\phi}\partial_n T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \hat{\gamma}^n\epsilon \otimes (\gamma^{1\hat{1}}\omega_{1\hat{1}} + \gamma^{2\hat{2}}\omega_{2\hat{2}})\eta \\
&= \frac{-72i}{L^2\sqrt{2\tau_2}}e^{-\phi}\partial_n T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \hat{\gamma}^n\epsilon \otimes (\gamma_{1\hat{1}} - \gamma_{2\hat{2}})\eta = 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where we have used the ansatz (20), (22), and the last line follows because of (40). At the end, there remains to check eq. (30). However, this equation is the same that appears when we have only D(-1)-branes, which are known to be half supersymmetric. So we conclude that our D-instanton solutions preserve 1/2 supersymmetries.

## 3.2 Solutions

Let us now turn to solving the last two equations in Sec. 3. Eq. (25), with a delta function singularity on the right hand side (here the location of D-instanton) in fact defines the Green function of massless scalars in $AdS_5$. The general case of massive scalar propagators have been discussed and derived in terms of hypergeometric functions in [6, 7]. However, for massless scalars, the solutions are easier to find.

To begin with, let us write eq. (25) in the Poincare coordinates, (4), and set $L = 1$ for convenience

$$z^3\partial_z\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\partial_z T\right) + \partial_i\partial^i T = 0\,. \tag{42}$$

[2]For the Gamma matrices we take $\Gamma^n = \sigma_1 \otimes \hat{\gamma}^n \otimes 1$, for $n = 1, \ldots, 5$, and $\Gamma^a = \sigma_2 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma^a$, for $a = 6, \ldots, 10$, with $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon \otimes \eta$.

This equation is the same as eq. (2) for D(-1)-branes, it can be conveniently solved by first defining

$$\xi = \frac{2zz_1}{z^2 + z_1^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_1})^2}\,, \tag{43}$$

in terms of which the geodesic distance is usually expressed. If we write eq. (42) in terms of $\xi$, it reduces to an ordinary differential equation in variable $\xi$:

$$4\xi^2(1-\xi^2)T''(\xi^2) - 2(2+3\xi^2)T'(\xi^2) = 0\,. \tag{44}$$

The solution is the D1-branes instanton (smeared along $T^{1,1}$) in $AdS_5$ space

$$T(\xi^2) = a_1 + b_1\left(\frac{3\xi^2 - 2}{(1-\xi^2)^{3/2}}\right)\,, \tag{45}$$

which has a delta function singularity at $\xi^2 = 1$, the location of the D-instanton

$$z = z_1\,, \qquad \vec{x} = \vec{x_1}\,. \tag{46}$$

$a_1$ and $b_1$ are two constant parameters and the boundary value of $T$, when $z \to 0$, is $T_0 = a_1 - 2b_1$.

Now, let us discuss dilaton equation (24). Substituting solution (45) for $T$ on the right hand side of (24), it reduces to

$$4\xi^2(1-\xi^2)(e^\phi)'' - 2(2+3\xi^2)(e^\phi)' + \frac{9b_1^2\,\xi^6}{(1-\xi^2)^4} = 0\,, \tag{47}$$

where again prime indicates the derivative with respect to $\xi^2$. This equation can be integrated to get the particular solution

$$e_s^\phi = -\frac{b_1^2\,(3\xi^2-2)^2}{2(1-\xi^2)^3}\,. \tag{48}$$

To this we can add the solution of the homogeneous equation to get the general solution for the dilaton:

$$e^\phi = a_0 + b_0\left(\frac{3\tilde{\xi}^2 - 2}{(1-\tilde{\xi}^2)^{3/2}}\right) - \frac{b_1^2\,(3\xi^2-2)^2}{2(1-\xi^2)^3}\,, \tag{49}$$

where, to keep track of the different moduli, the solution of the homogeneous equation is now written in terms of

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{2zz_0}{z^2 + z_0^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_0})^2}\,. \tag{50}$$

Here, $a_0$ and $b_0$ are two constant parameters so that $e^\phi \to e^{\phi_0} = a_0 - 2b_0 - 2b_1^2$ at the boundary.

### 3.3 Near boundary behaviour

Having a scalar field of mass $m$ in $AdS_{d+1}$, we can examine its boundary behaviour. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence [8], the boundary value of the scalar field acts as a source for the dual operator of dimension $\Delta$

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(d + \sqrt{d^2 + 4m^2})\,. \tag{51}$$

Furthermore, the subleading term in fact determines the vacuum expectation value of the dual operator [9, 10]. More explicitly, near the boundary we have

$$\phi(z, \vec{x}) \;\sim\; z^{d-\Delta}\,\phi_0(\vec{x}) + z^{\Delta}\,\phi_1(\vec{x})\,, \tag{52}$$

so that $\phi_0(\vec{x})$ acts as a source of the dual operator, while $\phi_1(\vec{x})$ determines its expectation value.

The scalar fields we are concerned with have zero masses, and hence should couple to dimension 4 operators at the boundary, which we discussed in Sec. 2. Now that we have explicit exact solutions of these scalars we can look at the boundary behaviour and read off the vacuum expectation values of the dual operators. When $z \to 0$, for the dilaton we find

$$e^{\phi} \;\sim\; e^{\phi_0} - 44\,b_0\frac{z^4 z_0^4}{(z_0^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_0})^2)^4} + 168\,b_1^2\frac{z^4 z_1^4}{(z_1^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_1})^2)^4}\,, \tag{53}$$

whereas, $T$ behaves as

$$T \;\sim\; T_0 - 44 b_1\frac{z^4 z_1^4}{(z_1^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_1})^2)^4}\,. \tag{54}$$

Comparing the above equations with (52), we indeed see that they correspond to dimension 4 boundary operators. The coefficients of $z^4$ terms in (53) and (54) determine the vev of $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ (as defined in (11) and (12)), respectively.

Now, recall from (19) that

$$d(e^{-\phi} + ic) = 0\,, \tag{55}$$

while (22) implies

$$F_3 = dC_2 = -id((e^{-\phi} + ic)B) = -id((e^{-\phi} + ic)T\,\omega)\,, \tag{56}$$

therefore, we can obtain the corresponding solutions for $c$ and $C_2$, i.e., $c \sim e^{-\phi}$, and $C_2 \sim T\,\omega$. Further, using the above recipe the coefficients of the subleading terms in (53) and (54) determine the vevs:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_c(\vec{x}) \rangle \sim \frac{44\,e^{-2\phi_0} b_0\, z_0^4}{(z_0^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_0})^2)^4} - \frac{168\,e^{-2\phi_0} b_1^2\, z_1^4}{(z_1^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_1})^2)^4} \tag{57}$$

and,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{C_2}(\vec{x}) \rangle \sim \frac{b_1 \, z_1^4}{(z_1^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x_1})^2)^4} \,, \tag{58}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}$ were defined in (13) and (14).

As expected from the gauge theory side, the vev of the operators $\mathcal{O}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}$ are proportional to the Yang-Mills instanton densities. Furthermore, (55) and (56) now imply

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_\phi(\vec{x}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_c(\vec{x}) \rangle \,, \tag{59}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_B(\vec{x}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_{C_2}(\vec{x}) \rangle \,, \tag{60}$$

hence we conclude that

$$\langle \mathrm{tr}\,(F_1 \wedge *F_1) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{tr}\,(F_1 \wedge F_1) \rangle \,, \tag{61}$$

$$\langle \mathrm{tr}\,(F_2 \wedge *F_2) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{tr}\,(F_2 \wedge F_2) \rangle \,. \tag{62}$$

This observation, as in the case of D(-1)-branes, further supports the identification of D-instantons with Yang-Mills instantons of the boundary theory, i.e., $F_1 = *F_1$ and $F_2 = *F_2$. In this way, the location (moduli) of the D-instanton solutions, e.g., $(z_0, \vec{x}_0)$, is identified with the scale and location of Yang-Mills instantons. Had we chosen the upper sign in (19), we would have obtained D-instantons corresponding to $F_1 = - * F_1$ and $F_2 = - * F_2$. Both of these configurations in gauge theory are known to preserve half the supersymmetries. On the contrary, we know that instantons of opposite charges, i.e., $F_1 = *F_1$ and $F_2 = -*F_2$, break supersymmetry, and, in fact, it is difficult to construct the dual brane configurations in the bulk.

Note that, if $b_0 \neq 0$, and $b_1 = 0$, namely if we have only D(-1)-branes, then $\langle \mathcal{O}_{C_2} \rangle = 0$ and thus it would correspond to having instantons of charge $(k, k)$ on the boundary sitting on top of each other at $\vec{x} = \vec{x_0}$ with size $z_0$. On the other hand, when $b_1 \neq 0$, and $b_0 = 0$, i.e., having only D1-branes, then $\langle \mathcal{O}_c \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_{C_2} \rangle \neq 0$ and therefore we have instantons of charge $(k, k')$, with $k \neq k'$, on the boundary. They are all located at $\vec{x} = \vec{x_1}$ with size $z_1$. The more general case of $b_0$, $b_1 \neq 0$, is simply the sum of the above instantons at two different locations $\vec{x} = \vec{x_0}$, and $\vec{x} = \vec{x_1}$. From (57), we see that if we take $(z_0, \vec{x}_0) = (z_1, \vec{x}_1)$ and let $b_0 = 42 b_1^2/11$ then we have $\langle \mathcal{O}_c \rangle = 0$, which would correspond on the boundary with two instantons of opposite charges sitting on top of each other.

# 4 Action and the dual description

To motivate the boundary terms and hence the action of D-instantons, it is useful to rewrite the action in terms of dual fields. This has a twofold benefit of getting the boundary term as well as deriving the saturating bounds. The bounds will be the same as those we get from supersymmetry [11]. So, let us assume that the

Chern-Simons term is vanishing (as is the case for the solutions we have found), and start with the following action:

$$S = -\frac{1}{4k_{10}^2}\int \Big( d\phi\wedge *\, d\phi + e^{-\phi} H\wedge * H + e^{-2\phi}\tilde{F}_9\wedge *\,\tilde{F}_9 + e^{-\phi} F_7\wedge * F_7 \\ -2c\,(d\tilde{F}_9 - F_7\wedge H) - 2\,C_2\wedge dF_7\Big)\,, \tag{63}$$

which upon integration over $c$ and $C_2$ yields the *dual* description

$$S = -\frac{1}{4k_{10}^2}\int \Big( d\phi\wedge *\, d\phi + e^{-\phi} H\wedge * H + e^{-2\phi}\tilde{F}_9\wedge *\,\tilde{F}_9 + e^{-\phi} F_7\wedge * F_7\Big)\,, \tag{64}$$

supplemented with the Bianchi identities

$$\tilde{F}_9 = dC_8 + C_6\wedge H\,, \qquad F_7 = dC_6\,. \tag{65}$$

Further, let us define the Euclidean action by $S = iS_E$, and write (64) as[3]

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{4k_{10}^2}\int \Big( (d\phi - e^{-\phi} *\,\tilde{F}_9)\wedge *\,(d\phi - e^{-\phi} *\,\tilde{F}_9) + e^{-\phi}(H - *F_7)\wedge *\,(H - *F_7) \\ -2\,e^{-\phi} d\phi\wedge\tilde{F}_9 - 2\,e^{-\phi} H\wedge F_7\Big)\,. \tag{66}$$

Using the Bianchi identities, (65), the last two terms combine to a boundary term

$$-2\int (e^{-\phi} d\phi\wedge\tilde{F}_9 + e^{-\phi} H\wedge F_7) \tag{67}$$

$$= 2\int (de^{-\phi}\wedge(dC_8 + C_6\wedge H) + e^{-\phi} F_7\wedge H) = 2\int d(e^{-\phi}\tilde{F}_9) \tag{68}$$

therefore, since the first two terms in (66) are semi positive-definite, we get a lower bound on the Euclidean action

$$S_E \;\geq\; -\frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int d(e^{-\phi}\tilde{F}_9)\,, \tag{69}$$

and the bound is saturated whenever

$$d\phi = e^{-\phi} *\,\tilde{F}_9\,, \qquad H = *F_7\,. \tag{70}$$

By reversing the signs in (66), we could saturate the bound with

$$d\phi = -e^{-\phi} *\,\tilde{F}_9\,, \qquad H = -\,*\,F_7\,, \tag{71}$$

for which the boundary term flips its sign. However, for a configuration with opposite signs; i.e., $d\phi = -e^{-\phi} *\tilde{F}_9\,,\ H = *F_7$ , we do not get a lower bound set by a *boundary term.*

[3]For $\omega$ an $r$-form on an $m$-dimensional manifold, we have $*^2\omega = (-1)^{r(m-r)}\,\omega$ for a Riemannian metric, and $*^2\omega = (-1)^{1+r(m-r)}\,\omega$ when the metric is Lorentzian.

Next, we wish to write the action in a dual description. First, let us write action (63) in Euclidean space and vary with respect to $\tilde{F}_9$ and $F_7$:

$$\delta S_E = \frac{-1}{2k_{10}^2}\int\Big(e^{-2\phi}\delta\tilde{F}_9\wedge *\,\tilde{F}_9 + e^{-\phi}\delta F_7\wedge *\,F_7 + ic\,(d\delta\tilde{F}_9 - \delta F_7\wedge H) + i\,C_2\wedge d\delta F_7\Big)$$

where $i$ comes from rotating to Euclidean signature. Setting $\delta S_E = 0$, we get

$$\tilde{F}_9 = ie^{2\phi} * dc\,, \qquad F_7 = ie^{\phi} * \tilde{F}_3\,. \tag{72}$$

Plugging this back into the action gives back the original action (15), now accompanied by the boundary terms:

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{4k_{10}^2}\int\Big(d\phi\wedge *\,d\phi + e^{2\phi}dc\wedge *\,dc + e^{-\phi}H\wedge *\,H + e^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3\wedge *\,\tilde{F}_3 \tag{73}$$

$$-2d(c\,e^{2\phi} * dc + e^{\phi}C_2\wedge *\,\tilde{F}_3)\Big)\,. \tag{74}$$

We can now see that equations (70) for which the bound is saturated are in fact configurations (19) that preserve supersymmetry. Using (70) and (72), we have

$$d\phi = e^{-\phi} * \tilde{F}_9 = -ie^{\phi}dc \tag{75}$$

$$H = *F_7 = -ie^{\phi}\tilde{F}_3\,, \tag{76}$$

which are the same equations as (19). Further, using the above equations we can see that the boundary terms in both descriptions, i.e., (68) and (74), coincide.

Having obtained the lower bound on the action, let us now compute it for the (saturated) solutions that we found in the Sec. 3. From (69), we have

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int_M d(e^{-\phi}\tilde{F}_9) = -\frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int_M d*d\phi = -\frac{1}{2k_{10}^2}\int_{\partial M} *d\phi \tag{77}$$

where boundary $\partial M$ consists of a part at $z\to 0$, and two small spheres around the D(-1)-brane at $(z_0,\vec{x}_0)$, and D1-brane at $(z_1,\vec{x}_1)$. Taking the exterior derivative of dilaton solution (49), doing the Hodge dual and then taking the limit $z\to 0$ we obtain

$$*d\phi|_{z\to 0} = e^{-\phi_0}\left(\frac{48b_0\,z_0^4}{(z_0^2+(\vec{x}-\vec{x_0})^2)^4} + \frac{96b_1^2\,z_1^4}{(z_1^2+(\vec{x}-\vec{x_1})^2)^4}\right)\,d^4x\wedge \mathrm{vol}(T^{1,1})\,. \tag{78}$$

Finally, the integral over the 4d space and $T^{1,1}$ results to

$$S_E = \frac{128}{27k_{10}^2}e^{-\phi_0}\pi^5(b_0+2b_1^2)\,, \tag{79}$$

where we used

$$\int \mathrm{vol}(T^{1,1}) = \frac{16}{27}\pi^3\ \text{, and} \qquad \int\frac{d^4x}{(z_0^2+(\vec{x}-\vec{x_0})^2)^4} = \frac{\pi^2}{6z_0^4}\,, \tag{80}$$

and the fact that the integral over the two small spheres around the D-instantons, when their size is shrinking to zero, vanishes.

# 5 Conclusions

In this article, we derived explicit exact solutions of smeared D-instantons in the KW model. The D-instantons consist of D(-1)-branes together with D1-branes. Following [2], we argued that in this model D(-1)-branes source the sum of the instanton densities in the gauge theory, whereas D1-branes provide a source to the difference of these densities. We saw that with a suitable ansatz the equations of motion can be reduced to a coupled scalar field equations, which we then solved and examined its boundary behaviour. This allowed us to determine the vev of the corresponding dual operators in the gauge theory. In particular, we observed that to get and identify instantons of charge $(k, k')$, with $k \neq k'$, on the boundary we need to include D1-branes in the bulk. We further examined the supersymmetry of the solutions and found that, like D(-1)-branes, they preserve half the supersymmetries. We also discussed the dual description of the D-instantons and described the dual action which then enabled us to write down the boundary terms and find the saturating bounds. At the end, we computed the action of these D-instanton solutions.

# References


[1] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, *Superconformal Field Theory on Threebranes at a Calabi-Yau Singularity*, Nucl. Phys. B **536**, 199 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9807080].

[3] M. Bianchi, M. B. Green, S. Kovacs and G. Rossi, *Instantons in Supersymmetric Yang-Mills and D-instantons in IIB Superstring Theory*, JHEP **9808**, 013 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9807033].

[4] C. S. Chu, P. M. Ho and Y. Y. Wu, *D instanton in AdS(5) and instanton in SYM(4)*, Nucl. Phys. B **541**, 179 (1999), [hep-th/9806103].

[5] J. H. Schwarz, *Covariant Field Equations Of Chiral N=2 D=10 Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **226**, 269 (1983).

[6] C. Fronsdal, *Elementary particles in a curved space. ii*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 589 (1974).

[7] C. P. Burgess and C. A. Lutken, *Propagators and Effective Potentials in Anti-de Sitter Space*, Phys. Lett. B **153**, 137 (1985).

[8] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[9] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, A. E. Lawrence and S. P. Trivedi, *Holographic probes of anti-de Sitter space-times*, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 104021 (1999), [hep-th/9808017].

[10] K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5849 (2002), [hep-th/0209067].

[11] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, *Effects of D-instantons*, Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 195 (1997), [arXiv:hep-th/9701093].